

Competitive Sealed Proposals

1

2

3

Owner:	City of Forney	Contractor:	Aurthers Building Group, LLC	RNDI Companies, INC	Tegrity Contractors
Project:	RFP 2022-004 Renovations Fire Station No. 1	Address:	500 Industry Way, Suite 10	311 E Interstate 30	202 N Allen Drive, Suite E
Architect Firm:	Eikon	City:	Prosper	Rockwall	Allen
Project Manager:	Candy McQuiston	State, Zip:	Texas 75078	Texas 75087	Texas 75103
Bid Date:	August 1, 2022	Phone:	469-965-9884	214-771-3977	972-562-6060
Base Bid	Description/Areas	Base Bid Price		Base Bid Price	
	Additions and renovations as detailed in construction drawings and specifications	\$	552,930.00	\$	473,980.00
Total Amount of Base Bid		\$	552,930.00	\$	473,980.00
Time of Completion for Base Bid (Calendar Days):			70		70
Bid Alternates	Description/Areas	Alternate Bid Price		Alternate Bid Price	
	AA 1. Furnish and install new flooring to match corridor flooring in all bunk areas	\$	9,890.00	\$	33,000.00
	AA 2. Furnish and install roller shades for all windows in bunk areas – double roll, black out and light filtering	\$	5,130.00	\$	7,500.00
	AA 3. Furnish and install window curtains for all windows in bunk areas – new rods & curtains	\$	2,930.00	\$	6,500.00
	AA 4. Furnish and install Combat Challenge Flag display	\$	-	\$	12,650.00
Total Amount of Add Alternate(s)		\$	17,950.00	\$	59,650.00
Overall Bid Total (Base+Alternates)		\$	570,880.00	\$	533,630.00
Time of Completion for Bid Alternates (Calendar Days):			30		30
Total Time of Completion (Calendar Days with Bid Alternates):			100		100
Overall Scoring of Proposals			91		81

PROPOSAL SCORING:

Accepted proposals will be reviewed by an evaluation team. The team shall score each proposal, and determine which proposal best meets the needs of the City. Evaluation of Proposals will be selected using a competitive sealed proposal process in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2269.

The City will select the proposal that is determined to be the best value for the City considering the relative importance of the following criteria and weights and any other facts considered relevant by the City. The City reserves the right to request presentations or demonstrations from some or all offerors in order to further develop evaluation scores. The City reserves the right to award contracts without any negotiations and reserves the right to not make an award. Preference will be provided to Contractor(s) deemed capable of completing more than one project deliverable.

The evaluation criteria for Proposal will be evaluated are the following:

Criteria Number	Criteria Description	Category Value
1	ORGANIZATION	
1 (a)	Organizational ability to perform required work	5 Points
	Category Total:	5 points
2	SAFETY	
2(a)	Safety Plan, and Insurance Rate Modifier (IRM):	5 points
2(b)	Proposed Construction schedule and phasing plan:	5 points
	Category Total:	10 Points
3	EXPERIENCE	
3(a)	Claims and Suits	5 points
3(b)	Relevant project experience	10 Points
3(c)	Proposed Project Staff experience and resume:	5 Points
	Category Total:	20 points
4	REFERENCES	
4(a)	References	15 points
	Category Total:	15 points
5	FINANCING	
5(a)	Financial stability and ability to perform required work	5 Points
	Category Total:	5 Points
6	Purchase Price/Cost of Work	
6(a)	This section will be scored according to published formula by Bond Procurement personnel and provided for all evaluators:	45 points
	Category Total:	45 points
Project Total		100 Points

Offerors should be aware that sealed proposals and information regarding sealed proposals cannot and will not be disclosed to the public prior to contract award.

The City reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, or portions thereof, and to negotiate the terms of a contract, including the award amount, with the selected Proposer prior to entering into a contract. If contract negotiations cannot be concluded successfully with the highest scoring proposer, the City may negotiate a contract with the next highest scoring Proposer.

The award will be granted in one of two ways. The award may be granted to the highest scoring responsive and responsible Proposer. Alternatively, the highest scoring Proposer or Proposers may be requested to submit final and best offers. If final and best offers are requested, they will be evaluated against the stated criteria, scored and ranked. The award will then be granted to the highest scoring Proposer.

As a courtesy, the City may send a notification of award memo to responding vendors at the time of the award.